



LATE REPORTS, URGENT BUSINESS and SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

Cabinet				
	ing report	22 nd January 2008 t/appendix were received too late to b now enclosed, as follows:	e included on the m	ain agenda for this
Agenda Item Number	Page	Title	Reason	Officer Responsible
7	1 - 6	LAND AT SCOTFORTH ROAD, LANCASTER	The Overview and Scrutiny Committee from which this supplementary information is from was not held until after the publication of the Agenda.	Head of Democratic Services.
	1			
Agenda Item Number	Page	Title	Reason	Officer Responsible
12	7	BUDGET AND POLICY FRAMEWORK UPDATE	General Fund Revenue Budget – revised Appendix F circulated at the	



Referral from Overview and Scrutiny 22nd January 2008

Report of Head of Democratic Services

	PURPOSE OF REF	PORT	
	binet to consider the recommendarding Land at Scotforth East.	ations of the Overview and Scruti	iny
Key Decision	Non-Key Decision	Referral from Overview and Scrutiny	X
Key Decision Date Included i			X

RECOMMENDATIONS OF OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

- (1) That community wood land containing the footpath adjacent to the southern boundary of Rays Drive should be deleted from the area that is proposed to be sold in order to protect it for reasons of visual amenity, biodiversity conservation, public access and connectivity to other paths in the area, and the footpaths be included as public rights of way.
- (2) That the Council retain a narrow ransom strip to the south and this will be clarified in the tender documents.
- (3) That the Minutes and comments made at the meeting be forwarded to Cabinet.

Introduction

At an extraordinary meeting of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 17th January 2008, Members considered the draft brief relating to land at Scotforth Road. This additional meeting had been convened in order to enable the Overview and Scrutiny Committee to comment on the draft brief and forward comments to Cabinet.

Details

After a number of questions and discussion the following recommendations were agreed by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee:

- (1) That community wood land containing the footpath adjacent to the southern boundary of Rays Drive should be deleted from the area that is proposed to be sold in order to protect it for reasons of visual amenity, biodiversity conservation, public access and connectivity to other paths in the area, and the footpaths be included as public rights of way.
- (2) That the Council retain a narrow ransom strip to the south and this will be clarified in the tender documents.
- (3) That the Minutes and comments made at this meeting be forwarded to Cabinet.

The draft Minutes are attached to this report.

TO SOLIOVED AMENORY					
RELATIONSHIP TO POLICY FRAMEWORK	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
As per Cabinet report.					
<u> </u>					
CONCLUSION OF IMPACT ASSESSMENT					
	mmunity Safety, Sustainability and Rural				
Proofing)					
As per Cabinet report.	!				
FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS					
As per Cabinet report.					
As por Gubinet report.					
SECTION 151 OFFICER'S COMMENTS					
As per Cabinet report.	l				
As per Gubinet report.	ı				
LEGAL IMPLICATIONS					
As per Cabinet report.					
As per Gabinet report.					
MONITORING OFFICER'S COMMENTS					
As per Cabinet report.					
BACKGROUND PAPERS	Contact Officer: Liz Bateson				
	Telephone : 01524 582047				
None.	E-mail: ebateson@lancaster.gov.uk				

OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

6.00 P.M. 17TH JANUARY 2008

PRESENT:- Councillors Stuart Langhorn (Chairman), Emily Heath (Vice-Chairman),

Susan Bray, Ken Brown, Rebekah Gerrard, Roger Plumb and Jude Towers Shirley Burns (substitute for Mike Greenall) and Tina Clifford (substitute for

Robert Redfern)

Apologies for Absence

Councillor Mike Greenall and Robert Redfern

Also present: Councillors Roger Mace, Tony Johnson, Evelyn Archer, David

Kerr, John Gilbert, Sheila Denwood, and Jane Fletcher

Members of the public

Officers in attendance:-

Roger Muckle Corporate Director (Finance and Performance)

Graham Cox Head of Property Services

James Doble Principal Democratic Support Officer
Liz Bateson Senior Democratic Support Officer

35 LAND AT SCOTFORTH ROAD, LANCASTER

The Chairman welcomed members of the public and Cabinet Members and outlined the procedure that would be followed during the meeting. The Chairman explained that the meeting had been convened to discuss the disposal brief and that any comments should be limited to this issue.

The Corporate Director (Finance & Performance) introduced the report on Land at Scotforth Road and explained that following a request from the Overview and Scrutiny Committee at its meeting on 31st October 2007, the Committee had the opportunity to comment on the draft tender brief before its consideration at Cabinet on 22nd January 2008.

The Head of Property Services explained that the documents did not specify a particular use for the land and Cabinet would be making a decision as to whether to market the land in general terms.

The Chairman invited questions from Members of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee.

Questions were asked regarding the estimated value of the site, the advertising process and possible cost, the covenant on the neighbouring land, score sheet criteria and the impact assessment. Clarification was sought regarding compliance with sustainability criteria, the example date, the possibility of retaining the wooded area, and the possibility of not having to accept the highest bid.

17TH JANUARY 2008

In response Officers confirmed that it was considered best practice to offer for sale assets that the council no longer required to deliver its agreed corporate priorities. Officers confirmed that the value of the site had been estimated on the basis of a food store but that this would vary depending on the type of development that took place. It was reported that the original report was couched in the terms of a possible food store development as the council had originally been approached by a food store. Officers confirmed that the site would be advertised in the property press and local press but would not be targeted at a particular sector. With regard to the score sheet criteria, officers confirmed that this would be adapted for different uses once the type of development was known. The development agreement would also be adapted when the successful bid was identified and would be a means to ensure the developer adhered to the agreed criteria.

Officers confirmed that it would be possible to remove the wooded area from the area to be sold although this might remove the flexibility to understand how developers would incorporate these features in their bids. Officers confirmed that if the site went out to tender and Cabinet decided none of the proposals met the criteria, a decision could be made not to sell the land. Officers confirmed that any decision which Cabinet made would need to clarify the reasons for that decision.

Questions were asked regarding alternative asset sales and Members were advised that the 5 year capital programme was reviewed annually as part of the budget process and took account of possible funding streams including grants, borrowing and donations.

Concern was raised that the Budget and Performance Panel had not reviewed the robustness of the capital programme as recommended by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee at its meeting on 31st October 2007. It was reported that this would be considered by the Panel at its next meeting in accordance with the agreed work schedule.

Questions were raised as to how soon any development would be completed and why the sale of the freehold rather than leasehold was proposed. Officers confirmed that it would be possible for a long lease to be offered although housing developers preferred to purchase the freehold. Whilst it was not possible to estimate a completion date, Officers confirmed that it was not unusual to measure such developments in years. It was noted that there was no provision for inflation if a number of years elapsed between tender approval and final payment.

The Chairman invited other Members present to address the Committee.

Councillor Denwood, Ward Councillor for Scotforth West expressed concern that the land was used as a dumping ground.

The Chairman read out a letter from Councillor Kirkman, Ward Councillor for Scotforth East, who expressed concern at the potential for increased traffic, noise and pollution arising from any development on the site, and her support for the 'town green status.'

The Chairman invited members of the public to address the Committee.

Comments were raised regarding the capital programme, the sale of alternative council assets, the covenant on the adjacent land and the suggested artificial distinction between asset management and planning. Comments were made regarding the strength of feeling against development and the importance of green space. Reference was made to the

17TH JANUARY 2008

criteria and weighting, community strategy, the woodland area and concerns regarding the sustainability of the budget management.

The Chairman thanked the members of the public for their comments and confirmed that the Committee would now debate the issue.

It was proposed by Councillor Heath and seconded by Councillor Towers:

"That Cabinet be requested to support option 2, not to approve tender documents, and the potential use of the land should be established through the Local Development Framework with a development brief drawn up prior to the marketing of the land to enable proper public consultation and scrutiny of any proposed change in use to take place. This would give Cabinet an agreed policy framework within which to operate and enable alternative funding proposals to be properly considered."

Members debated the recommendation.

Upon being put to the vote 3 Members voted in favour of the proposition and 6 against whereupon the Chairman declared the proposal to be lost.

It was proposed by Councillor Langhorn and seconded by Councillor Bray:

"That the Minutes and comments made at the meeting be forwarded to Cabinet."

Councillor Heath proposed the following amendment:

- (a) "That community woodland containing the footpath adjacent to the southern boundary of Rays Drive should be deleted from the area that is proposed to be sold in order to protect it for reasons of visual amenity, biodiversity conservation and public access and connectivity to other paths in the area."
- (b) That the Council will retain a narrow ransom strip to the south and this will be clarified in the tender documents."

The proposer and seconder indicated that they would be willing to second the proposed amendment with the addition of 'and to include the footpath as a public right of way' at the end of the first proposed amendment.

It was proposed by Councillor Heath and seconded by Councillor Langhorn:

- (1) "That community woodland containing the footpath adjacent to the southern boundary of Rays Drive should be deleted from the area that is proposed to be sold in order to protect it for reasons of visual amenity, biodiversity conservation and public access and connectivity to other paths in the area and to include the footpath as a public right of way."
- (2) That the Council will retain a narrow ransom strip to the south and this will be clarified in the tender documents."

Upon being put to the vote, 5 Members voted in favour of the proposal and 3 against, whereupon the Chairman declared the proposal to be carried.

The Chairman requested the Committee to identify any comments to be forwarded to Cabinet. It was agreed to highlight the importance of public involvement, to note the

Page 6 OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

17TH JANUARY 2008

comment from the floor regarding links to the community strategy, to inform Cabinet that the trees were originally planted for Ethiopia. It was agreed that Cabinet be made aware of concerns regarding the adequacy of the fence around the pond area in view of its proximity to the west coast mainline. Consideration should be given to adjusting the score sheet to put more emphasis on visual impact and that Cabinet note the area is a gateway to Lancaster. Score sheet should include biodiversity and sustainable landscaping. The impact assessment should highlight energy use and lighting spillage.

Members were then asked to vote on the substantive motion:

- (1) "That the Minutes and comments made at this meeting be forwarded to Cabinet."
- (2) "That community woodland containing the footpath adjacent to the southern boundary of Rays Drive should be deleted from the area that is proposed to be sold in order to protect it for reasons of visual amenity, biodiversity conservation and public access and connectivity to other paths in the area and to include the footpath as a public right of way."
- (3) That the Council will retain a narrow ransom strip to the south and this will be clarified in the tender documents."

Upon being put to the vote Members voted unanimously in favour of the proposal whereupon the Chairman declared the proposal carried.

Resolved:

- (1) That the Minutes and comments made at this meeting be forwarded to Cabinet.
- (2) That community woodland containing the footpath adjacent to the southern boundary of Rays Drive should be deleted from the area that is proposed to be sold in order to protect it for reasons of visual amenity, biodiversity conservation and public access and connectivity to other paths in the area and to include the footpath as a public right of way.
- (3) That the Council will retain a narrow ransom strip to the south and this will be clarified in the tender documents.

Chairman	

(The meeting ended at 7.49 p.m.)

Any queries regarding these Minutes, please contact Liz Bateson, Democratic Services - telephone (01524) 582047 or email ebateson@lancaster.gov.uk

Page 7 Agenda 11em 12 LEADER'S DRAFT RECOMMENDATIONS FOR BUDGET PROPOSALS

For Consideration by Cabinet 22 January 2008

		22 January 2000	2008/09 £'000	2009/10 £'000	2010/11 £'000
SAVINGS PROPOSALS:			£ 000	£ 000	E. 000
FINANCE & PERFORMANCE Corporate Strategy	Review of Communications Function/Mgt Team Support (note: net of LSP request for additional publicity)		(14.0)	(14.0)	(14.0)
Econ Dev & Tourism	Business Development Grants (if new scheme is not implemented)		(21.8)	(22.2)	(22.6)
Property Services	Concessionary Travel - withdrawal of peak time concessions Energy Reduction (Invest to Save) - LTH Powerfactor Postages - procurement of service from TNT Removal of free car parking for voluntary organisations		(40.0) (3.0) (19.0) (8.7)	(40.0) (3.0) (20.0) (8.7)	(40.0) (3.0) (20.0) (8.8)
COMMUNITY SERVICES Health & Strategic Hsg	Withdrawal of out-of-hours Emergency Call Out System		(9.3)	(9.5)	(9.7)
TOTAL SAVINGS PRO	POSED TO THE PROPERTY OF THE P		(115.8)	(137.4)	(118.1)
GROWTH PROPOSALS: CHIEF EXECUTIVE Democratic Services	Electoral Registration Act Grants / SLA Review by Budget and Performance Panel	Statutory Requirement Subject to final recommendations	+39.0 +3.5	+40.0 (0.4)	+41.0 (4.4)
Legal & HR	Homeworking Pilot Project - Project Manager and Support costs (IT Costs to be rnet from Invest to save or Renewals Reserve)	Project Manager and Support costs only	+40.0	+27.0	+28.0
FINANCE & PERFORMANCE Corporate Strategy Financial Services	Climate Change Strategy Implementation Community Safety staffing capacity (Between £12-20K) Payment Card industry Data Security Standards	Report to February Cabinet Based on maximum cost for 1 year Figure to be confirmed	+20.0 +20.0	+20.0	+20.0
REGENERATION Econ Dev & Tourism	Regeneration Staffing (2010/11amounts to be reviewed / set next year) The Dome	Per Cabinet Dec 2007 Increased pumping costs	+34.5 +3.0	+19,0 +3,0	TBC +3.0
COMMUNITY SERVICES City Contract (Direct) Services Health & Strategic Hsg	Waste & Cleansing Enforcement (£26K per post) Street Pride Scheme Dog Control Orders	1 additional staff 2008/9 and 2009/10 included within existing base budget Spread over two years	+26.0 +6.0 +7.0	+52.0 +6.0 +7.0	+52.0 +7.0
	Stray Dogs Headstone Programme (various cemeteries, other than Lancaster) Cemeteries Administration - new post Wasp Infestation Removal in Domestic Premises	Continuation of Programme - one-off cost As per Recommendation of Task Group No fee increase	+24.9 +0.4	+55.0 +23.6 +0.4	+24.2 +0.4
REVENUE IMPLICATIONS OF C Cultural Services Econ Dev & Tourism	CAPITAL PROGRAMME Play England / Big Lottery Projects Lancaster TIC relocation to Storey Institute Upgrade of IT link to Tourism Offices, at The Platform	Agreed at Cabinet 24 July 2007 Additional growth over 2006/07 allocation	+16.2 +2.5	+16.9 +2.8 +2.6	+17.6 +2.8 +2.7
TOTAL GROWTH PR	OPOSED		243,0	274.9	194.3
			CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY.		
NET GROWTH TOTA			127.2	157.5	76.2
	ASE BUDGET PROJECTIONS		23,274	24,706	26,242
CURRENT DRAFT BA	→		23,274 23,401	24,706 24,864	26,242 26,318
CURRENT DRAFT BA POTENTIAL REVENUE ! TARGET REVENUE ! (4.5% in 2008/9, 4.0% ass	ASE BUDGET PROJECTIONS JE BUDGET (INCLUDING ROUNDED NET GROWTH) BUDGET FOR ASSUMED MTFS COUNCIL TAX INCREASES umed in 2009/10 and 2010/11))		23,274 23,401 23,205	24,706 24,864 24,019	26,242 26,318 24,762
CURRENT DRAFT BAR POTENTIAL REVENUE S TARGET REVENUE S (4.5% in 2008/9, 4.0% ass RECOMMENDED PRO	ASE BUDGET PROJECTIONS JE BUDGET (INCLUDING ROUNDED NET GROWTH) BUDGET FOR ASSUMED MTFS COUNCIL TAX INCREASES umed in 2009/10 and 2010/11)) OPOSAL TO MEET SHORTFALL: Addition to savings from S	taff Turnover	23,274 23,401	24,706 24,864 24,019 -200	26,242 26,318 24,762 -200
CURRENT DRAFT BAR POTENTIAL REVENUE S TARGET REVENUE S (4.5% in 2008/9, 4.0% ass RECOMMENDED PRO	ASE BUDGET PROJECTIONS JE BUDGET (INCLUDING ROUNDED NET GROWTH) BUDGET FOR ASSUMED MTFS COUNCIL TAX INCREASES umed in 2009/10 and 2010/11))	taff Turnover	23,274 23,401 23,205	24,706 24,864 24,019	26,242 26,318 24,762
CURRENT DRAFT BAR POTENTIAL REVENUE S TARGET REVENUE S (4.5% in 2008/9, 4.0% ass RECOMMENDED PRO	ASE BUDGET PROJECTIONS JE BUDGET (INCLUDING ROUNDED NET GROWTH) BUDGET FOR ASSUMED MTFS COUNCIL TAX INCREASES umed in 2009/10 and 2010/11)) OPOSAL TO MEET SHORTFALL: Addition to savings from S ITENTIAL SAVINGS REQUIREMENTS (IF ALL SAVINGS & GF	taff Turnover	23,274 23,401 23,205 -196	24,706 24,864 24,019 -200	26,242 26,318 24,762 -200
CURRENT DRAFT BAR POTENTIAL REVENUE TARGET REVENUE ! (4.5% in 2008/9, 4.0% ass RECOMMENDED PRO FUTURE YEARS' PO	ASE BUDGET PROJECTIONS JE BUDGET (INCLUDING ROUNDED NET GROWTH) BUDGET FOR ASSUMED MTFS COUNCIL TAX INCREASES umed in 2009/10 and 2010/11)) OPOSAL TO MEET SHORTFALL: Addition to savings from S ITENTIAL SAVINGS REQUIREMENTS (IF ALL SAVINGS & GF	taff Turnover	23,274 23,401 23,205 -196	24,706 24,864 24,019 -200	26,242 26,318 24,762 -200
CURRENT DRAFT BA POTENTIAL REVENUE TARGET REVENUE; (4.5% in 2008/9, 4.0% ass RECOMMENDED PROFULTION OF THE YEARS' PO SAVINGS PROFOSALS NOT CHIEF EXECUTIVE	ASE BUDGET PROJECTIONS JE BUDGET (INCLUDING ROUNDED NET GROWTH) BUDGET FOR ASSUMED MTFS COUNCIL TAX INCREASES umed in 2009/10 and 2010/11)) OPOSAL TO MEET SHORTFALL: Addition to savings from S TENTIAL SAVINGS REQUIREMENTS (IF ALL SAVINGS & GF	taff Turnover ROWTH ARE SUPPORTED)	23,274 23,401 23,205 -196 +0	24,706 24,864 24,019 -200 +645	26,242 26,318 24,762 -200 +1,356
CURRENT DRAFT BA POTENTIAL REVENUE: [4.5% in 2008/9, 4.0% ass RECOMMENDED PROFUTURE YEARS' PO SAVINGS:PROPOSALS NOT CHIEF EXECUTIVE Democratic Services REGENERATION Cultural Services	ASE BUDGET PROJECTIONS JE BUDGET (INCLUDING ROUNDED NET GROWTH) BUDGET FOR ASSUMED MTFS COUNCIL TAX INCREASES umed in 2009/10 and 2010/11)) OPOSAL TO MEET SHORTFALL: Addition to savings from S ITENTIAL SAVINGS REQUIREMENTS (IF ALL SAVINGS & GF TRECOMMENDED Introduce Charging for Parish Council By-elections Salt Ayre - Close Every Bank Holiday	taff Turnover ROWTH ARE SUPPORTED)	23,274 23,401 23,205 -196 +0	24,706 24,864 24,019 -200 +645	26,242 26,318 24,762 -200 +1,356
CURRENT DRAFT BA POTENTIAL REVENUE ! [4.5% in 2008/9, 4.0% ass RECOMMENDED PROFUTURE YEARS' PO SAVINGS PROPOSALS NO CHIEF EXECUTIVE Democratic Services GROWTH PROPOSALS NO CHIEF EXECUTIVE Democratic Services	ASE BUDGET PROJECTIONS JE BUDGET (INCLUDING ROUNDED NET GROWTH) BUDGET FOR ASSUMED MTFS COUNCIL TAX INCREASES umed in 2009/10 and 2010/11)) OPOSAL TO MEET SHORTFALL: Addition to savings from S ITENTIAL SAVINGS REQUIREMENTS (IF ALL SAVINGS & GF TRECOMMENDED Introduce Charging for Parish Council By-elections Salt Ayre - Close Every Bank Holiday	taff Turnover ROWTH ARE SUPPORTED)	23,274 23,401 23,205 -196 +0	24,706 24,864 24,019 -200 +645	26,242 26,318 24,762 -200 +1,356
CURRENT DRAFT BA POTENTIAL REVENUE I [4.5% in 2008/9, 4.0% ass RECOMMENDED PROFUTURE YEARS' PO SAVINGS PROFOSALS NO CHIEF EXECUTIVE REGENERATION CURLUTAL Services GROWTH PROPOSALS NO CHIEF EXECUTIVE	ASE BUDGET PROJECTIONS JE BUDGET (INCLUDING ROUNDED NET GROWTH) BUDGET FOR ASSUMED MTFS COUNCIL TAX INCREASES umed in 2009/10 and 2010/11)) OPOSAL TO MEET SHORTFALL: Addition to savings from S ITENTIAL SAVINGS REQUIREMENTS (IF ALL SAVINGS & GF TRECOMMENDED Introduce Charging for Parish Council By-elections Salt Ayre - Close Every Bank Holiday TINCLUDED Twinning (re Slave Trade Triangle) Children & Young People - Full time manager Children & Young People - Contingency	taff Turnover ROWTH ARE SUPPORTED) Based on one by-election per year Options reported to Star Chamber Any growth agreed from cabinet report To be met from C&YP reserve	23,274 23,401 23,205 -196 +0 (1.0) (5.0)	24,706 24,864 24,019 -200 +645 (1.0) (5.0)	26,242 26,318 24,762 -200 +1,356 (1.0) (5.0) ? +13.6 ? +6.0
CURRENT DRAFT BA POTENTIAL REVENUE I (4.5% in 2008/9, 4.0% ass RECOMMENDED PRO FUTURE YEARS' PO SAVINGS:PROPOSALS NO CHIEF EXECUTIVE Democratic Services REGENERATION Cultural Services GROWTH PROPOSALS NO CHIEF EXECUTIVE Democratic Services FINANCE & PERFORMANCE	ASE BUDGET PROJECTIONS JE BUDGET (INCLUDING ROUNDED NET GROWTH) BUDGET FOR ASSUMED MTFS COUNCIL TAX INCREASES umed in 2009/10 and 2010/11)) OPOSAL TO MEET SHORTFALL: Addition to savings from S ITENTIAL SAVINGS REQUIREMENTS (IF ALL SAVINGS & GF I RECOMMENDED Introduce Charging for Parish Council By-elections Salt Ayre - Close Every Bank Holiday TINCLUDED Twinning (re Slave Trade Triangle) Children & Young People - Full time manager	taff Turnover ROWTH ARE SUPPORTED) Based on one by-elaction per year Options reported to Star Chamber Any growth agreed from cabinet report	23,274 23,401 23,205 -196 -+0 (1.0) (5.0)	24,706 24,864 24,019 -200 +645 (1.0) (5.0)	26,242 26,318 24,762 -200 +1,356 (1.0) (5.0)
CURRENT DRAFT BA POTENTIAL REVENUE I (4.5% in 2008/9, 4.0% ass RECOMMENDED PRO FUTURE YEARS' PO SAVINGS:PROPOSALS NO CHIEF EXECUTIVE Democratic Services REGENERATION Cultural Services GROWTH PROPOSALS NO CHIEF EXECUTIVE Democratic Services FINANCE & PERFORMANCE	ASE BUDGET PROJECTIONS JE BUDGET (INCLUDING ROUNDED NET GROWTH) BUDGET FOR ASSUMED MTFS COUNCIL TAX INCREASES umed in 2009/10 and 2010/11)) OPOSAL TO MEET SHORTFALL: Addition to savings from S ITENTIAL SAVINGS REQUIREMENTS (IF ALL SAVINGS & GF TRECOMMENDED Introduce Charging for Parish Council By-elections Salt Ayre - Close Every Bank Holiday TINCLUDED Children & Young People - Fulf time manager Children & Young People - Contingency Young Advisor's Scheme	taff Turnover ROWTH ARE SUPPORTED) Based on one by-election per year Options reported to Star Chamber Any growth agreed from cabinet report To be met from C&YP reserve	23,274 23,401 23,205 -196 +0 (1.0) (5.0)	24,706 24,864 24,019 -200 +645 (1.0) (5.0)	26,242 26,318 24,762 -200 +1,356 (1.0) (5.0) ? +13.6 ? +6.0

REPORTS BACK IN 2008/9 FOR 2009/10 BUDGET CONSIDERATION

Concessionary Travel to include Community Transport Contracts

Carparking permits to be reviewed again during 2008/09, wef August.

Home working and Hot Desking roll out - to include use of Group Rooms/Mgt team offices as priority. Accommodation Review - following holdesking / homeworking pilot.

Democratic Review to consider range of initiatives including review of blue bags

Review of Corporate marketing - issue for 2009/10 budget. Festivals and Events efficiency savings - issue for 2009/10 budget.

Middleton Wood Mitce

Neighbourhood Management District Wide Roll Out - issue for 2009/10 budget. Similar for Poulton / West End continuation. Community Safety Financing

Customer First Policy (2009/10 onwards) - issue for 2009/10 budget.
Review of CCTV function - issue for 2009/10 budget.
Community Pools - report back required (again issue for 2009/10 budget).